

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,204	01/14/2002		Takeshi Nakao	36856.593	7389
7590 01/30/2004				EXAMINER	
Keating & Be Suite 312	nnett LLP	1	BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
10400 Eaton Pl	lace		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fairfax, VA 22030				2834	
				DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astion Comment	10/043,204	NAKAO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark Budd	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 L	<u> Pecember 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7 and 9-11</u> is/are pending in the	e application.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
6) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7 and 9-11 is/are rejected.	· · ———						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> </ul>							
37 CFR 1.78.	·						
<ul> <li>a)          The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> <li>14)          Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.     </li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					
Delegation of Trades at 1975							

Application/Control Number: 10/043,204

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1, 3-5, 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over the Prior Art (Applicants fig. 4) (Referenced by Applicant as AAPA) in view of Koshino or Japan (390).

The 'prior art" (applicants fig. 4) teaches the specific SAW configurations but the jumper wires cross the electrodes and/or reflector elements. However, Japan (390) (Fig. 7) and Koshino (Figs. 6b, 10, 14, 16-18, 20, 21, 23 & 25) teach connection of multiple SAWS to the terminal pads via jumper wires that are specifically routed so as not to cross over any electrode or reflector fingers. Such constructions would eliminate possible unwanted capacitive coupling and possible short-circuiting during manufacturing.

Regarding applicants remarks it is noted that for references to be motivations combinable the need not be the same as taught by applicant. The advantages purported by the examiner (reduction of short circuits etc.) are not related to ripple elimination or any other of applicants teaching, thus the combination is not based on hindsight or applicants teachings. Further, since AAPA (Fig. 4) is moot regarding any advantage for putting jumper wires over the transducer structures, the teaching is neutral" and does not specifically teach an from not crossing transducer structures with jumper wires.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2834

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

M BUDD/pj

1/21/04

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1, 3-5, 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over the Prior Art (Applicants fig. 4) (Referenced by Applicant as AAPA) in view of Koshino or Japan (390).

The 'prior art" (applicants fig. 4) teaches the specific SAW configurations but the jumper wires cross the electrodes and/or reflector elements. However, Japan (390) (Fig. 7) and Koshino (Figs. 6b, 10, 14, 16-18, 20, 21, 23 & 25) teach connection of multiple SAWS to the terminal pads via jumper wires that are specifically routed so as not to cross over any electrode or reflector fingers. Such constructions would eliminate possible unwanted capacitive coupling and possible short-circuiting during manufacturing.

Regarding applicants remarks it is noted that for references to be motivations combinable the need not be the same as taught by applicant. The advantages purported by the examiner (reduction of short circuits etc.) are not related to ripple elimination or any other of applicants teaching, thus the combination is not based on hindsight or applicants teachings. Further, since AAPA (Fig. 4) is moot regarding any advantage for putting jumper wires over the transducer structures, the teaching is neutral" and does not specifically teach an from not crossing transducer structures with jumper wires.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/043,204

Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

M BUDD/pj

1/21/04